

1 NOSSAMAN LLP
2 DAVID C. LEE (SBN 193743)
3 dlee@nossaman.com
4 50 California Street, 34th Floor
5 San Francisco, CA 94111
6 Telephone: 415.398.3600
7 Facsimile: 415.398.2438

8 NOSSAMAN LLP
9 KASIA PENN (SBN 306056)
10 kpenn@nossaman.com
11 18101 Von Karman Avenue, Suite 1800
12 Irvine, CA 92612
13 Telephone: 949.833.7800
14 Facsimile: 949.833.7878

15 Attorneys for Defendant RTZ ASSOCIATES, INC.

16

17 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
18 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

19

20 INTUS CARE, INC.,

21 Plaintiff,

22 vs.

23 RTZ ASSOCIATES, INC.; and DOES 1
24 through 10,

25 Defendants,

26 Case No: 4:24-cv-01132-JST

27 Assigned to: Hon. Jon S. Tigar

28 **DECLARATION OF MICHAEL
ZAWADSKI IN SUPPORT OF RTZ
ASSOCIATES, INC.'S OPPOSITION TO
PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR PARTIAL
SUMMARY JUDGMENT**

29 Date: June 26, 2025

30 Time: 2:00 p.m.

31 Place: Courtroom 6

32 Complaint Filed: February 23, 2024

33 Amended Complaint Filed: April 2, 2024

34 Counterclaims Filed: June 20, 2024

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43 4:24-cv-01132-JST

44 DECLARATION OF MICHAEL ZAWADSKI ISO RTZ'S OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR PARTIAL
45 SUMMARY JUDGMENT

DECLARATION OF MICHAEL ZAWADSKI

I, Michael Zawadski, declare as follows:

1. I make this declaration in support of RTZ Associates, Inc.’s (“RTZ”) Opposition to Plaintiff IntusCare, Inc.’s (“Intus”) Motion for Partial Summary Judgment. I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth in this declaration and if called as a witness, I could and would completely testify to those facts.

2. I was the CEO of RTZ Associates, Inc. from approximately 2013 until October of 2024, when Assured Healthcare Partners LLC combined the assets of RTZ and Tabula Rasa Healthcare, Inc. (“TRHC”). I then became the CEO of the combined entity, now known as Collabrios Health, Inc. (“Collabrios”), a position which I continue to hold until today.

3. RTZ has developed and exclusively owns the PACECare software system. PACECare is a cloud-based administrative software solution that is licensed to Program of All-inclusive Care for the Elderly (“PACE”) facilities throughout the United States. PACE facilities provide focused care to elderly patients, and use PACECare as an administrative tool to maintain and organize operations, manage finances, and track key PACE participant data that is input into and managed by the software solution (“PACE data”). The PACE facilities use PACECare pursuant to the terms of a PACECare Agreement. PACECare is a proprietary and confidential system. Access to PACECare is restricted only to specific authorized users approved by RTZ. Each authorized user is issued unique login credentials. Only users with such authorized credentials are permitted access to the system. RTZ’s clients are prohibited from granting any third parties (such as Intus) access to PACECare without RTZ’s consent pursuant to RTZ’s PACECare Agreements.

4. As reflected in Exhibit 4 to the Declaration of Charles E. Weir in Support of IntusCare Inc.’s Motion for Partial Summary Judgment (“Weir Decl.”), on September 1, 2022, RTZ forwarded a copy of a Nondisclosure Agreement (“NDA”) to Intus for review and execution. The NDA transmitted to Intus was RTZ’s standard nondisclosure agreement; an agreement that has been executed by a number of third-party companies seeking access to PACECare, including TRHC.

1 5. Rather than executing RTZ's standard NDA, Intus proposed an entirely different
 2 NDA with terms and conditions that were problematic for RTZ. Plaintiff's proposed NDA
 3 contained terms that RTZ simply found to be unacceptable, including terms that: (i) allowed
 4 Plaintiff continuing access to PACECare even if the NDA was terminated; and (ii) required RTZ
 5 to make coding changes to its PACECare software. RTZ and Intus continued to try and
 6 negotiate an acceptable NDA without success.

7 6. Ultimately, Intus refused to meaningfully negotiate the NDA, and instead pivoted
 8 and sought to negotiate an acquisition of RTZ. When those negotiations failed, Intus abandoned
 9 any and all NDA discussions with RTZ. Intus was therefore never permitted to access
 10 PACECare. Many months later, Intus filed this lawsuit.

11 7. Community PACE was a common client of RTZ and Intus. From January to
 12 March, 2024, Community PACE exchanged multiple email correspondences with RTZ regarding
 13 Intus's apparent access to Community PACE's PACECare system. A true and correct copy of
 14 these email exchanges and the Data Integration Addendum attachment are collectively attached
 15 hereto as **Exhibit 7**.

16 8. The above-described email exchanges alerted me to two significant issues. First,
 17 Intus was seeking access to Community PACE's PACECare system via an NDA executed
 18 between RTZ and Tabula Rasa Healthcare ("TRHC"), a former competitor of RTZ's in the
 19 PACE industry. RTZ granted TRHC access to PACECare after it executed RTZ's standard
 20 NDA. [REDACTED]

21 [REDACTED]

22 [REDACTED]

23 [REDACTED]

24 [REDACTED]

25 [REDACTED]

26 [REDACTED]

27 9. Both of those issues caused me concerns. Contrary to Intus's representations to
 28 Community PACE, Intus is not and has not ever been within the corporate umbrella of TRHC – a

1 fact known to me given my capacity as CEO of Collabrios and deep knowledge of the PACE
 2 industry. Therefore, any statement that Intus was under the corporate umbrella of TRHC is
 3 patently incorrect.

4 10. Next, Intus's Motion asserts that it deployed automated scripts to extract
 5 "necessary data [from PACECare], with RTZ's knowledge." (Motion, at 4:8-10, citing to the
 6 Declaration of Robbie Felton in Support of Intus's Motion ("Felton Decl."), at ¶13.) This is
 7 incorrect. I was never informed about Intus's use of an automated script when RTZ received the
 8 Data Integration Addendum attached to Community PACE's March 11, 2024, email attached as
 9 Exhibit 7. [REDACTED]

10 [REDACTED]

11 (See Exhibit 7).

12 11. RTZ never consented to or knew about Intus's use of automated scripts (i.e., bots)
 13 to access and extract data from PACECare between June 2021 to September 2022. RTZ never
 14 authorized Intus – or any third-party – to develop, deploy or use bots or automated scripts to
 15 scrape its system for data. In fact, RTZ does not permit any third-parties to run automated
 16 scripts in PACECare. Moreover, RTZ did not and would not knowingly consent to any use of
 17 automated scripts in PACECare by Intus or others. RTZ has never issued to Intus any
 18 PACECare login credentials or consented to its use of PACE facility clients' login credentials to
 19 access PACECare. [REDACTED]

20 [REDACTED]

21 [REDACTED]

22 [REDACTED]

23 [REDACTED]

(A copy

24 of the PACECare Agreement is attached to the Weir Declaration, at ¶5, Ex. 7, at §7.1.) No such
 25 approval was provided to Intus by RTZ.

26 12. Rather, RTZ has consistently required that any third-party seeking access to
 27 PACECare execute its NDA. RTZ has not treated Intus any differently than any other third-party
 28 seeking access to PACECare.

1 13. Moreover, Intus has always had – and continues to have – access to the data it
2 seeks without any need to access PACECare. Specifically, it can obtain the data directly from its
3 own Intus Clients. [REDACTED]

4 [REDACTED]
5 [REDACTED] (See PACECare Agreement attached to the Weir Decl., at ¶5, Ex. 7, at §7.2.)

6 14. RTZ's PACE clients can extract whatever data they entered into PACECare and
7 provide it to a third-party, including Intus, through a PACECare feature known as the Custom
8 Export Report. A true and correct copy of the Custom Export Report is attached hereto as
9 **Exhibit 8.** [REDACTED]

10 [REDACTED]
11 [REDACTED]
12 [REDACTED]
13 [REDACTED]

14 Contrary to Intus's assertions, this process is not an administrative burden and in fact is easily
15 achieved. [REDACTED]

16 [REDACTED]
17 [REDACTED] . RTZ provides training guides for PACECare users on
18 this feature, as well as technical support for questions that arise.

19 15. RTZ never has and never would stand in the way of an Intus Client accessing its
20 own data on PACECare (which it owns) or providing that data to Intus (or any other third-party)
21 as a data export.

22 16. [REDACTED]
23 [REDACTED]
24 [REDACTED]
25 [REDACTED]
26 [REDACTED]

27 ///

28 ///

1 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the
2 foregoing is true and correct.

3 Executed this 2nd day of May 2025, at San Francisco, California.

4
5
6 
MICHAEL ZAWADSKI

7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

EXHIBIT “7”

FILED UNDER SEAL

EXHIBIT “8”

FILED UNDER SEAL